

International Economics B

3. Oligopoly models

Akihiko Yanase
(Graduate School of Economics)

October 18, 2016

Oligopoly and noncooperative game theory

- **Oligopoly:** A market form in which a market or industry is dominated by a **small number (two or more) of sellers** (oligopolists).
 - Because there are few sellers, each oligopolist is likely to be aware of the actions of the others.
 - Decisions of one firm influence, and are influenced by, the decisions of other firms.
- ⇒ Strategic interaction among firms.
- Analyzing oligopolistic markets ← Applying noncooperative game theory

Types of oligopolistic competition

- **Cournot competition**
 - **Augustin Cournot**, *Researches Into the Mathematical Principles of the Theory of Wealth*, **1838**.
 - Firms choose **outputs**.
- **Bertrand competition**
 - **Joseph Bertrand (1883)**, “Review of ‘Theorie Mathematique de la Richesse Sociale’ by Leon Walras and ‘Recherches sur les Principes Mathematiques de la Theorie des Richesses’ by Augustin Cournot,” *Journal des Savants* **67**, pp. 499-508.
 - Firms choose **prices**.
- **Other types of competition (investment in R&D, advertisement, etc.)**

Product differentiation

- Most industries produce a large number of **similar but not identical products**.
- Product differentiation: the process by which a product is distinguished from others, so that it appeals more to the target consumers.
 - Instruments: brand, quality, function, design, advertising, customer service, etc.
- In the presence of product differentiation, if a firm raises the price of its product, the demand for (= sales of) other firms' products can increase.

A model with two products (and a numeraire)

- **Quasi-linear utility:** $U(q_1, q_2, m) = u(q_1, q_2) + m$
 - q_i : consumption of good i ($i = 1, 2$)
 - m : consumption of numeraire
 - u : twice differentiable, increasing, and strictly concave
- **Utility maximization** $\max_{q_1, q_2} u(q_1, q_2) - \sum_{i=1,2} p_i q_i$
 \Rightarrow FOCs:

$$u_1(q_1, q_2) = p_1, \quad u_2(q_1, q_2) = p_2$$

\Rightarrow Demand functions: $q_i = d^i(p_1, p_2)$

- **Properties of $d^i(\cdot, \cdot)$**
 - Demand curve is downward sloping: $d_i^i < 0$
 - Cross effect d_j^i , $j \neq i$, is positive (negative) for substitutes (complements)
 - Assume that own effect is larger than the cross effect:
 $|d_i^i| > |d_j^i|$ (or equivalently, $|u_{ii}| > |u_{ij}|$), $j \neq i$

- Quadratic subutility function:

$$u(q_1, q_2) = \alpha_1 q_1 + \alpha_2 q_2 - \frac{\beta_1 q_1^2 + 2\gamma q_1 q_2 + \beta_2 q_2^2}{2}$$

- Parameter restrictions: $\alpha_i, \beta_i > 0$, $\beta_1 \beta_2 - \gamma^2 > 0$,
 $\alpha_i \beta_j - \alpha_j \gamma > 0$, $i, j = 1, 2, j \neq i$

- FOCs:

$$p_1 = \alpha_1 - \beta_1 q_1 - \gamma q_2, \quad p_2 = \alpha_2 - \gamma q_1 - \beta_2 q_2$$

- Inverse demand functions

⇒ Direct demands:

$$q_1 = a_1 - b_1 p_1 + c p_2, \quad q_2 = a_2 + c p_1 - b_2 p_2,$$

- where $a_i = (\alpha_i \beta_j - \alpha_j \gamma) / (\beta_1 \beta_2 - \gamma^2)$ and
 $b_i = \beta_j / (\beta_1 \beta_2 - \gamma^2)$, $i, j = 1, 2, j \neq i$

- **Properties of demand functions:**
 - The products are substitutes/independent/complements according to whether $\gamma > 0 / = 0 / < 0$.
 - Demand curve is always downward sloping.
 - When $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$ and $\beta_1 = \beta_2 = \gamma > 0$, the products are perfect substitutes (i.e., homogeneous).
 - When $\alpha_1 = \alpha_2$, $\gamma^2 / (\beta_1 \beta_2)$ expresses the degree of product differentiation;
 - $\gamma^2 / (\beta_1 \beta_2) \rightarrow 0$ when the products are independent.
 - $\gamma^2 / (\beta_1 \beta_2) \rightarrow 1$ when the products are homogeneous (provided $\gamma > 0$).

Static Models of Oligopoly

- **Cournot competition in homogeneous products**
 - Two firms (duopoly) or n firms
 - If $n \rightarrow \infty$, a competitive outcome arises.
- **Bertrand competition in differentiated products**
 - If products were homogeneous, Bertrand competition leads to a competitive outcome.

Cournot competition in homogeneous products

- Firms determine their respective outputs.
 - n firms
 - Homogeneous products (i.e., no product differentiation)
- Market clearing condition: $D(p) = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \equiv X$
 - $D(p)$: market demand function, assumed to be twice differentiable and $D' < 0$
 - x_i : output of firm i 's product ($i = 1, \dots, n$)

\Rightarrow Market price is a function of total outputs X :
 $p = P(X)$

 - “Inverse demand function”, i.e., $P(\cdot) = D^{-1}(\cdot)$
- Firm i 's cost function: $C_i(x_i)$, assumed to be twice differentiable, $C'_i > 0$, and $C''_i \geq 0$

Case of $n = 2$ firms (duopoly)

- Taking the rival firm's output x_j as given ($j \neq i$), firm i determines x_i so as to maximize its profit:

$$\pi^i(x_1, x_2) = P(x_1 + x_2)x_i - C_i(x_i), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

- FOC:

$$\frac{\partial \pi^i}{\partial x_i} = P(x_1 + x_2) + P'(x_1 + x_2)x_i - C'_i(x_i) = 0$$

\Rightarrow Firm i 's best-response function: $x_i = R_i(x_j)$,
 $i, j = 1, 2, j \neq i$

Definition

The triplet $\{p^c, x_1^c, x_2^c\}$ is a **Cournot-Nash equilibrium** if:

- 1 x_1^c maximizes $\pi^1(x_1, x_2^c)$ and x_2^c maximizes $\pi^2(x_1^c, x_2)$;
- 2 $p^c = P(x_1^c + x_2^c)$.

- Using the best-response functions, (x_1^c, x_2^c) must satisfy

$$x_1^c = R_1(x_2^c) \quad \text{and} \quad x_2^c = R_2(x_1^c).$$

- Slope of the best-response function:

$$R'_i(x_j) = -\frac{\pi_{ij}^i(x_1, x_2)}{\pi_{ii}^i(x_1, x_2)} = -\frac{P' + P''x_i}{2P' + P''x_i - C_i''}$$

- SOC: $\pi_{ii}^i < 0$
- If $\pi_{ij}^i < 0$, the reaction curve is downward sloping (“strategic substitutes”).
- The Cournot-Nash equilibrium is, if it exists, unique and stable provided that $\pi_{11}^1 \pi_{22}^2 > \pi_{12}^1 \pi_{21}^2$.
 - Comparison between the slopes of the two firms’ reaction curves

- **Example with linear demand and constant marginal cost**
 - Market demand function: $D(p) = a - p$, $a > 0$
 \Rightarrow Inverse demand function: $P(X) = a - X$
 - Cost function: $C(x_i) = c_i x_i$, $c_i \in [0, a]$
- Firm i 's profit: $\pi^i(x_i, x_j) = (a - x_1 - x_2)x_i - c_i x_i$
 \Rightarrow Best-response function:

$$x_i = R_i(x_j) = \frac{a - c_i - x_j}{2}$$

- **Cournot–Nash equilibrium**

- **Outputs:**

$$x_1^c = \frac{a - 2c_1 + c_2}{3}, \quad x_2^c = \frac{a + c_1 - 2c_2}{3}$$

- **Price:**

$$p^c = \frac{a + c_1 + c_2}{3}$$

- **Example with linear demand and constant marginal cost (cont'd)**

- **Equilibrium profits:**

$$\pi_i^c = \frac{(a - 2c_i + c_j)^2}{9} = (x_i^c)^2, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

- **Comparative statics:**

$$\frac{\partial x_i^c}{\partial c_i} < 0, \quad \frac{\partial x_j^c}{\partial c_i} > 0, \quad \frac{\partial p^c}{\partial c_i} > 0$$

- **Comparison with monopoly (or collusive outcome)**

- Assume $C_i(x_i) = cx_i$, $c \in [0, a)$, $i = 1, 2$, and the monopolist has the same cost function.
- Monopolist's profit: $\pi(X) = P(X)X - cX$
 \Rightarrow FOC:

$$P(X) + P'(X)X = c$$

- Monopoly equilibrium: (X^m, p^m)
- Comparison b/w monopoly and duopoly outcomes:

$$x_1^c + x_2^c > X^m, \quad p^c < p^m$$

- Because duopoly is more competitive than monopoly, the dead-weight loss is smaller.

Case of many firms ($n > 2$)

- Each oligopolist's FOC:

$$P(X) + P'(X)x_i = C'_i(x_i), \quad X \equiv \sum_{j=1}^n x_j$$

- LHS can be rewritten as

$$P(X) \left[1 + \frac{dP}{dX} \frac{X}{P} \frac{x_i}{X} \right] = P(X) \left[1 - \frac{s_i}{\epsilon} \right],$$

- $\epsilon \equiv -\frac{dD(p)}{dp} \cdot \frac{p}{D(p)} > 0$: price elasticity of demand
- $s_i \equiv x_i/X$: firm i 's share in the market

- Assume $C_i(x_i) = C(x_i)$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$
 \Rightarrow Symmetric Cournot–Nash equilibrium with each firm producing the same amount x^c
 - $s_i = 1/n$ for all $i = 1, \dots, n$
- In the symmetric equilibrium,

$$p^c \left[1 - \frac{1}{n\epsilon} \right] = C'(x^c)$$

- If $n \rightarrow \infty$, p^c approaches the competitive equilibrium price, which is equal to the marginal cost.

Bertrand competition in differentiated goods

- Firms determine their respective products' prices
 - 2 firms (duopoly)
 - Products are differentiated.
- Demand function for firm i 's product: $d^i(p_1, p_2)$
 - $\partial d^i / \partial p_i < 0$, $\partial d^i / \partial p_j > 0$, $i, j = 1, 2$, $j \neq i$
- Firm i 's cost function: $C_i(x_i)$
 - $C'_i > 0$, $C''_i \geq 0$
- Firm i chooses p_i , taking p_j as given, so as to maximize

$$\pi^i(p_1, p_2) = p_i d^i(p_1, p_2) - C_i(d^i(p_1, p_2)).$$

- **FOC:**

$$\frac{\partial \pi^i}{\partial p_i} = d^i(p_1, p_2) + \left[p_i - C'_i(d^i(p_1, p_2)) \right] d^i_i(p_1, p_2) = 0$$

\Rightarrow **Firm i 's best-response function:** $p_i = R_i(p_j)$,
 $i, j = 1, 2, j \neq i$

Definition

The pair $\{p_1^b, p_2^b\}$ is a **Bertrand-Nash equilibrium** if p_1^b maximizes $\pi^1(p_1, p_2^b)$ and p_2^b maximizes $\pi^2(p_1^b, p_2)$.

- Using the best-response functions, (p_1^b, p_2^b) must satisfy

$$p_1^b = R_1(p_2^b) \quad \text{and} \quad p_2^b = R_2(p_1^b).$$

- Consider linear demand and constant marginal cost:

$$d^i(p_i, p_j) = A - p_i + bp_j, \quad A > 0, \quad 0 < b < 1,$$

$$C_i(x_i) = c_i x_i, \quad c_i \geq 0.$$

- A lower b implies a higher degree of product differentiation.
- Firm i 's best-response function:

$$p_i = R_i(p_j) = \frac{A + c_i + bp_j}{2}.$$

- Reaction curve is upward sloping (“strategic complements”).

- **Bertrand–Nash equilibrium:**

$$p_1^b = \frac{(2+b)A + 2c_1 + bc_2}{4-b^2}, \quad p_2^b = \frac{(2+b)A + bc_1 + 2c_2}{4-b^2}.$$

- **Comparative statics:**

$$\frac{\partial p_i^b}{\partial c_i} > 0, \quad \frac{\partial p_j^b}{\partial c_i} > 0$$

Sequential-move oligopoly

- Firms may not act simultaneously but **sequentially**.
 - A firm unveils its new product → Rival firms follow up by launching the competing products.
 - A firm revises the price of its product → Rival firms also revise the price of the competing product.
- Heinrich von Stackelberg, *Market Structure and Equilibrium*, 1934.
- Analysis of the firms' behavior and the outcome of the game:
 - Formulate as an extensive-form game: e.g., Firm 1 moves first and then firm 2 makes a decision.
 - Derive the subgame perfect equilibrium.

Preliminary: Isoprofit curves

- **Cournot competition: firm 1's isoprofit curve is the pairs of outputs (x_1, x_2) that yield firm 1 the same profit**

- $\pi^1(x_1, x_2) = \bar{\pi}_1$, where $\bar{\pi}_1$ is a given constant.

- $\Rightarrow \pi_1^1 dx_1 + \pi_2^1 dx_2 = d\bar{\pi}_1$

- $\pi_1^1 > (=)(<) 0$ if $x_1 < (=)(>) R_1(x_2)$

- $\pi_2^1 = P'(x_1 + x_2)x_1 < 0$

\Rightarrow For a given x_1 , a higher profit of firm 1 corresponds to a smaller x_2 .

- **Slope of the isoprofit curve:**

$$\left. \frac{dx_2}{dx_1} \right|_{\pi^1(\cdot, \cdot) = \bar{\pi}_1} = -\frac{\pi_1^1}{\pi_2^1} > (=)(<) 0 \quad \text{if} \quad x_1 < (=)(>) R_1(x_2)$$

\Rightarrow The reaction curve intercepts the isoprofit curves where the slope becomes zero (i.e. horizontal).

- **Bertrand competition: firm 1's isoprofit curve is the pairs of prices (p_1, p_2) that yield firm 1 the same profit**

- $\pi^1(p_1, p_2) = \bar{\pi}_1 \Rightarrow \pi_1^1 dp_1 + \pi_2^1 dp_2 = d\bar{\pi}_1$

- $\pi_1^1 > (=)(<) 0$ if $p_1 < (=)(>) R_1(p_2)$

- $\pi_2^1 = [p_1 - C'_1(d^1(p_1, p_2))] d_2^1(p_1, p_2) > 0$

\Rightarrow For a given p_1 , a higher profit of firm 1 corresponds to a higher p_2 .

- **Slope of the isoprofit curve:**

$$\left. \frac{dp_2}{dp_1} \right|_{\pi^1(\cdot, \cdot) = \bar{\pi}_1} = -\frac{\pi_1^1}{\pi_2^1} < (=)(>) 0 \quad \text{if} \quad p_1 < (=)(>) R_1(p_2)$$

\Rightarrow The reaction curve intercepts the isoprofit curves where the slope becomes zero (i.e. horizontal).

Sequential-move game: Cournot competition

- Consider a duopoly market and suppose that firm 1 moves first (“leader”) and then firm 2 sets its output (“follower”).
- Deriving the SPE (or Stackelberg equilibrium):
Backward induction:
 - ① (2nd stage) Find the follower’s optimal choice for x_2 for each given x_1 .
 - ② (1st stage) Taking the follower’s choice into account, find the leader’s optimal choice for x_1 .

- **Follower's optimization problem (in the 2nd stage):**

Given x_1 , maximize $\pi^2(x_2, x_1)$.

\Rightarrow Solution: $x_2 = R_2(x_1)$

- **Leader's optimization problem (in the 1st stage):**

Maximize $\pi^1(x_1, x_2)$ subject to $x_2 = R_2(x_1)$.

- **FOC:**

$$\frac{d\pi^1(x_1, R_2(x_1))}{dx_1} = \pi_1^1(x_1, R_2(x_1)) + \pi_2^1(x_1, R_2(x_1))R_2'(x_1) = 0$$

\Rightarrow Firm 1 chooses the optimal output x_1^s such that its isoprofit curve is tangent to firm 2's reaction curve.

- **Subgame Perfect Equilibrium:** $(x_1^s, R_2(x_1))$
 - Pair of the firms' strategy.
- **Stackelberg equilibrium outcome:** $\{p^s, x_1^s, x_2^s\}$, where $x_2 = R_2(x_1^s)$ and $p^s = P(x_1^s + x_2^s)$
 - Outputs that the firms actually set in equilibrium.
- **Case of linear demand and common constant marginal cost**
 - $P(X) = a - X, a > 0$
 - $C_i(x_i) = cx_i, c \in [0, a), i = 1, 2$

⇒ **Equilibrium outcome:**

$$x_1^s = \frac{a - c}{2}, \quad x_2^s = \frac{a - c}{4}, \quad p^s = \frac{a + 3c}{4}$$

- **Cournot–Nash equilibrium output:** $x_1^c = x_2^c = (a - c)/3$
- **Leader chooses larger output than under the Cournot–Nash equilibrium, while follower chooses smaller output:** $x_1^s > x_1^c = x_2^c > x_2^s$.
 - Firm 1 knows that firm 2 will reduce its output in response to an increase in firm 1's output.
 - \Rightarrow When firm 1 expands output, it expects the price to fall faster under Cournot than under sequential-moves market structure.
 - \Rightarrow In order to maintain a high price, firm 1 produces more under the sequential game than under Cournot.

- **Equilibrium profits:**

$$\pi_1^c = \pi_2^c = \frac{(a - c)^2}{9},$$
$$\pi_1^s = \frac{(a - c)^2}{8}, \quad \pi_2^s = \frac{(a - c)^2}{16}.$$

- **Leader earns higher profits than under the Cournot–Nash equilibrium, while the follower earns lower profits: $\pi_1^s > \pi_1^c = \pi_2^c > \pi_2^s$**
- **Being a leader is better.**

Sequential-move game: Bertrand competition

Assumptions:

- Bertrand competition: Firms set prices.
- Firm 1 moves first (“leader”) and then firm 2 sets its price (“follower”).

Deriving the SPE: Backward induction

- ① (2nd stage) Find the follower’s optimal choice for p_2 for each given p_1 .
- ② (1st stage) Taking the follower’s choice into account, find the leader’s optimal choice for p_1 .

- Follower's optimization problem (in the 2nd stage):

Given p_1 , maximize $\pi^2(p_2, p_1)$

\Rightarrow Solution: $p_2 = R_2(p_1)$

- Leader's optimization problem (in the 1st stage):

Maximize $\pi^1(p_1, p_2)$ subject to $p_2 = R_2(p_1)$

- FOC:

$$\frac{d\pi^1(p_1, R_2(p_1))}{dp_1} = \pi_1^1(p_1, R_2(p_1)) + \pi_2^1(p_1, R_2(p_1))R_2'(p_1) = 0$$

\Rightarrow Firm 1 chooses the optimal price p_1^s such that its isoprofit curve is tangent to firm 2's reaction curve.

- Subgame Perfect Equilibrium: $(p_1^s, R_2(p_1))$

- Consider a case with linear demand

$d^i(p_i, p_j) = A - p_i + bp_j$ and constant marginal cost
 $C_i(x_i) = c_i x_i$

- Moreover, assume $c_1 = c_2 = 0$.
- Equilibrium outcome:

$$p_1^s = \frac{A(2+b)}{2(2-b^2)}, \quad p_2^s = R_2(p_1^s) = \frac{A(4+2b-b^2)}{4(2-b^2)}$$

- Follower sets lower price than the leader: $p_1^s > p_2^s$.

- In the Bertrand–Nash equilibrium, prices are determined as $p_1^b = p_2^b = A/(2 - b)$.
- Both leader and follower set higher prices than under the Bertrand–Nash equilibrium: $p_1^s > p_1^b$ and $p_2^s > p_2^b$.
 - In the 2nd stage, firm 2 can obtain a larger market share by slightly undercutting p_1 .
 - \Rightarrow Firm 1 has an incentive to maintain a high price to avoid having firm 2 set a very low price.

- **Equilibrium profits:**

$$\pi_1^b = \pi_2^b = \frac{A^2}{(2-b)^2},$$

$$\pi_1^s = \frac{A^2(2+b)^2}{8(2-b^2)}, \quad \pi_2^s = \frac{A^2(4+2b-b^2)^2}{16(2-b^2)^2}.$$

- Both leader and follower earn higher profits than under the Bertrand–Nash equilibrium: $\pi_1^s > \pi_1^b$ and $\pi_2^s > \pi_2^b$.
- Follower earns higher profit than the leader: $\pi_1^s < \pi_2^s$.
- Being a follower is better.

References

- **Mas-Colell, A., M.D. Whinston, and J.R. Green (1995),** *Microeconomic Theory*, Oxford University Press.
- **Shy, O. (1996),** *Industrial Organization: Theory and Applications*, The MIT Press.
- **Singh, N. and X. Vives (1984), “Price and Quantity Competition in a Differentiated Duopoly,”** *RAND Journal of Economics* 15(4), 546–554.